200400228

No.

<u> THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA</u>

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

Hioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

PLOCOS, THERE HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR TUBER PROPAGATED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TWENTY THARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE IGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR ORIGING IT, OR CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE PURPOSE. OR CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE ABOVE OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

CORN, FIELD

'PHD90'

In Jestimonn Marcos. I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Insiste Frotestion Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this ninth day of June, in the year two thousand and six.

Altost:

Commissioner Commissioner Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service

Agriculture

REPRODUCE LOCALET, Include form number and C	iate on an re	productions		Form Approved - OWIS No. 0581-0055		
U.S. DEPARTME AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY - F	MARKETING	SERVICE	The following statements are made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995.			
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VA (Instructions and information co	RIETY PRO	FECTION CERTIFICATE		mine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued infidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).		
NAME OF OWNER Pioneer Hi-Bred Internation	nal, Inc.		2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NAME	3. VARIETY NAME PHD90		
4. ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City,	State, and Z	IP Code, and Country)	5. TELEPHONE (include area code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY		
7301 NW 62 nd Avenue	-1-1		515/270-4051	PVPO NUMBER		
Johnston, IA 50131-0085						
Johnston, IA 30131-0065			6. FAX (include area code)	200400228		
			515/253-2125	FILING DATE		
7. IF THE OWNER NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON",		OF 8. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION	9. DATE OF INCORPORATION	11 2 2004		
ORGANIZATION (corporation, partnership, association	icialion, etc.)	IOWA	March 5, 1999	May 25, 2004		
10. NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNER REPRESE	MTATIVE/S	TO SERVE IN THIS ADDI ICATION (First	parenn listed will receive all paners)	F FILING AND EXAMINATION FEES:		
	ENTATIVE(S	TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION. (First)	erson listed will receive all papers)	s s 3652.00		
Steven R. Anderson						
Research and Product Devel	opment			R DATE 5/25/04 C CERTIFICATION FEE:		
P.O. Box 85						
Johnston, IA 50131-0085				\$ 768.00		
				E DATE 5/24/06		
	·			D 3/0-1/00		
11. TELEPHONE (Include area code)	l ' '	Include area code)	13. E-MAIL			
515/270-4051	515	/253-2125	steven.anderson@	gpioneer.com		
14. CROP KIND (Common Name)	16. FAM	LY NAME (Botanical)	18, DOES THE VARIETY CONTA	IN ANY TRANSGENES? (OPTIONAL)		
CORN		mineae	□ YES X NO			
the contract of the contract o	15. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME OF CROP 17. IS THE VARIETY A FIRST GENERATION HYBRID?			SSIGNED USDA-APHIS REFERENCE NUMBER FOR THE		
	1 .	res X no		GULATE THE GENETICALLY MODIFIED PLANT FOR		
Zea Mays			COMMERICALIZATION:			
 CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTA (Follow instructions on reverse) 	ACHMENT S	JBMITTED		Y THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD AS A CLASS tion 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Act)		
a. X Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding History	of the Varie	w	YES (if "yes", answer			
b. X Exhibit B. Statement of Distinctness			21. DOES THE OWNER SPECIFY	Y THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO		
			NUMBER OF CLASSES?			
c. X Exhibit C. Objective Description of Variety			☐ YES ☐ NO			
d. D Exhibit D. Additional Description of the Variety (Optional)				☐ FOUNDATION ☐ REGISTERED ☐ CERTIFIED		
e. X Exhibit E. Stalement of the Basis of the Owner's Ownership			22. DOES THE OWNER SPECIFY NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?	Y THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO		
f. X Voucher Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds or, for tuber propagated varieties, verification that tissue culture will be deposited and maintained in an approved public			☐ YES ☐ NO			
repository)	poblica ana	mamamos in an approved passio	IF YES, SPECIFY THE NUMB	ER 1,2,3, etc. FOR EACH CLASS.		
g. X Filing and Examination Fee (\$3,652), r		to "Treasurer of the United	☐ FOUNDATION ☐ RE	GISTERED CERTIFIED		
States" (Mail to the Plant Variety Protect	tion Office)		1	cessary, please use the space indicated on the reverse.)		
23. HAS THE VARIETY (INCLUDING ANY HARVES	STED MATE	RIAL) OR A HYBRID PRODUCED		MPONENT OF THE VARIETY PROTECTED BY		
FROM THIS VARIETY BEEN SOLD, DISPOSED OTHER COUNTRIES?	OF, TRANS	SFERRED, OR USED IN THE U.S. OR	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT (PLANT BREEDER'S RIGHT OR PATENT)?			
X YES II NO			☐ YES X NO			
IF YES, YOU MUST PROVIDE THE DATE OF FOR EACH COUNTRY AND THE CIRCUMSTA				TRY, DATE OF FILING OR ISSUANCE AND ASSIGNED ase use space indicated on reverse.)		
The one of		200 200 opaso maiotica on leverse.)	THE ENERGY INDICES. (FIRE	and apare maidated on reveloc.		
 The owners declare that a viable sample of bas for a tuber propagated variety a tissue culture v 				ccordance with such regulations as may be applicable, or		
The undersigned owner(s) is(are) the owner of entitled to protection under the provisions of Section			y, and pelleve(s) that the variety is new, di	stinct, uniform, and stable as required in Section 42, and is		
Owner(s) is (are) informed that false representa			ies.			
			*			
SIGNATURE OF OWNER			IGNATURE OF OWNER			
			Thurs Min	desa		
NAME (Please print or type)		T P	IAME (Please print or type)			
			Steven R. Anderson			
•			Steven IV. MINERSON			
			<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
CAPACITY OR TITLE	· [:	DATE	APACITY OR TITLE	DATE		
			Research Scientist	5-24-2004		

200400228

INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: To be effectively filed with the Plant Variety Protection Office (PVPO), ALL of the following items must be received in the PVPO: (1) Completed application form signed by the owner; (2) completed exhibits A, B, C, E; (3) for a seed reproduced variety at least 2,500 viable untreated seeds, for a hybrid variety at least 2,500 untreated seeds of each line necessary to reproduce the variety, or for tuber reproduced varieties verification that a viable (in the sense that it will reproduce an entire plant) tissue culture will be deposited and maintained in an approved public repository; (4) check drawn on a U.S. bank for \$3,652 (\$432 filling fee and \$3,220 examination fee), payable to "Treasurer of the United States" (See Section 97.6 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.) Partial applications will be held in the PVPO for not more than 90 days, then returned to the applicant as unfiled. Mail application and other requirements to Plant Variety Protection Office, AMS, USDA, Room 401, NAL Building, 10301 Baltimore Avenue, Beltsville, MD 20705-2351. Retain one copy for your files. All items on the face of the application are self explanatory unless noted below. Corrections on the application form and exhibits must be initialed and dated. DO NOT use masking materials to make corrections. If a certificate is allowed, you will be requested to send a check payable to "Treasurer of the United States" in the amount of \$432 for issuance of the certificate. Certificates will be issued to owner, not licensee or agent.

Plant Variety Protection Office Telephone: (301) 504-5518 FAX: (301) 504-5291

Homepage: http://www.ams.usda.gov/science/pvpo/pvp.htm

To avoid conflict with other variety names in use, the applicant must check the appropriate recognized authority and provide evidence that name has been cleared by the appropriate recognized authority before the Certificate of Protection is issued. For example, for agricultural and vegetable crops, contact: Seed Branch, AMS, USDA, 10301 Baltimore Avenue, Suite 401 NAL Building, Beltsville, MD 20705. Telephone: (301) 504-5682 http://www.ams.usda.gov/lsg/seed.htm.

ITEM

- 19a. Give:
- (1) the genealogy, including public and commercial varieties, lines, or clones used, and the breeding method;
- (2) the details of subsequent stages of selection and multiplication;
- (3) evidence of uniformity and stability; and
- (4) the type and frequency of variants during reproduction and multiplication and state how these variants may be identified
- 19b. Give a summary of the variety's distinctness. Clearly state how this application variety may be distinguished from all other varieties in the same crop. If the new variety is most similar to one variety or a group of related varieties:
 - (1) identify these varieties and state all differences objectively;
 - (2) attach statistical data for characters expressed numerically and demonstrate that these are clear differences; and
 - (3) submit, if helpful, seed and plant specimens or photographs (prints) of seed and plant comparisons which clearly indicate distinctness.
- 19c. Exhibit C forms are available from the PVPO Office for most crops; specify crop kind. Fill in Exhibit C (Objective Description of Variety) form as completely as possible to describe your variety.
- 19d. Optional additional characteristics and/or photographs. Describe any additional characteristics that cannot be accurately conveyed in Exhibit C. Use comparative varieties as is necessary to reveal more accurately the characteristics that are difficult to describe, such as plant habit, plant color, disease resistance, etc.
- 19e. Section 52(5) of the Act requires applicants to furnish a statement of the basis of the applicant's ownership. An Exhibit E form is available from the PVPO.
- 20. If "Yes" is specified (seed of this variety be sold by variety name only, as a class of certified seed), the applicant MAY NOT reverse this affirmative decision after the variety has been sold and so labeled, the decision published, or the certificate issued. However, if "No" has been specified, the applicant may change the choice. (See Regulations and Rules of Practice, Section 97.103).
- 23. See Sections 41, 42, and 43 of the Act and Section 97.5 of the regulations for eligibility requirements.
- 24. See Section 55 of the Act for instructions on claiming the benefit of an earlier filing date.
- 22. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide a statement as to the limitation and sequence of generations that may be certified.)
- 23. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide the date of first sale, disposition, transfer, or use for each country and the circumstances, if the variety (including any harvested material) or a hybrid produced from this variety has been sold, disposed of, transferred, or used in the U.S. or other countries.)

United States, Nov. 1, 2003; Canada, Nov. 1, 2003

24. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please give the country, date of filing or issuance, and assigned reference number, if the variety or any component of the variety is protected by intellectual property right (Plant Breeder's Right or Patent).)

NOTES: It is the responsibility of the applicant/owner to keep the PVPO informed of any changes of address or change of ownership or assignment or owner's representative during the life of the application/certificate. The fees for filing a change of address; owner's representative; ownership or assignment; or any modification of owner's name is specified in Section 97.175 of the regulations. (See Section 101 of the Act, and Sections 97.130, 97.131, 97.175(h) of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.)

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0581-0055. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 1.4 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital or family status, political beliefs, parental status, or protected genetic information. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call 202-720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding History

Pedigree: PH54H/PH1W2)XI321211X

Pioneer Line PHD90, Zea mays L., a yellow endosperm corn inbred with some flint characteristics, was developed by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. from the single cross hybrid PH54H (Certificate No.200000204) X PH1W2 (PVP Certificate No. 9900022) using the pedigree method of plant breeding. Varieties PH54H and PH1W2 are proprietary inbred lines of Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Selfing was practiced from the above hybrid for 8 generations using pedigree selection. During line development, crosses were made to inbred testers for the purpose of estimating the line's combining ability. Yield trials were grown at Mankato, Minnesota as well as other Pioneer research locations. After initial testing, additional hybrid combinations heave been evaluated and subsequent generations of the line have been grown and hand-pollinated with observations again made for uniformity.

Variety PHD90 has shown uniformity and stability for all traits as described in Exhibit C - "Objective Description of Variety". It has been self-pollinated and ear-rowed 6 generations with careful attention paid to selection criteria and uniformity of plant type to assure genetic homozygousity and phenotypic stability. The line has been increased both by hand and in isolated fields with continued observations for uniformity and stability, and for 4 generations during the final stages of inbred development and seed multiplication. Very high standards for genetic purity have been established morphologically using field observations and electrophoretically using sound lab molecular marker methodology.

No variant traits have been observed or are expected in PHD90.

The criteria used in the selection of PHD90 were yield, both per se and in hybrid combinations; late season plant health, grain quality, stalk lodging resistance, and kernel size, especially important in production. Other selection criteria include: ability to germinate in adverse conditions; disease and insect resistance; pollen yield and tassel size.

Exhibit A: Developmental history for PHD90

Season/Year Pedigree Grown	Inbreeding Level of Pedigree Grown
PH54H Summer 1997	F0
PH1W2 Summer 1997	F0
PH54H/PH1W2 Winter 1997	F1
PH54H/PH1W2)X Winter 1998	F2
PH54H/PH1W2)XI3 Summer 1999	F3
PH54H/PH1W2)XI32 Summer 2000	F4
PH54H/PH1W2)XI321 Winter 2000	F5
PH54H/PH1W2)XI3212 Summer 2001	F6
PH54H/PH1W2)XI32121 Winter, 2001	F 7
PH54H/PH1W2)XI321211 Summer 2002	F8
PH54H/PH1W2)XI321211X	F9 SEED

^{*}PHD90 was selfed and ear-rowed from F3 through F8 generation.

#Uniformity and stability were established from F6 through F9 generation and beyond when seed supplies were increased.

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement

Variety PHD90 mostly resembles Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. proprietary inbred line PH1W2 (PVP Certificate No. 9900022). Tables 1A and 1B show two sample t-tests on data collected primarily in Johnston and Dallas Center, IA. The traits collectively show measurable differences between the two varieties.

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement

Variety PHD90 has a smaller ear diameter (36.5 mm vs 40.9 mm) than variety PH1W2 (Table 1A, 1B).

Variety PHD90 has a lower ear weight (80.9 g vs 123.5 g) than variety PH1W2 (Table 1A, 1B).

Variety PHD90 has a lower kernel length (9.7 mm vs 10.9 mm) than variety PH1W2 (Table 1A, 1B).

Variety PHD90 has a shorter leaf length (71.4 cm vs 82.9 cm) than variety PH1W2 (Table 1A, 1B).

3

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement Tables

PH1W2. Varieties were grown in 3 locations that had different environmental conditions. Environments had different planting dates and were in different Table 1A: Data from Johnston and Dallas Center, IA (2003) broken out by environment are supporting evidence for differences between PHD90 and fields. A two-sample t-test was used to compare differences between means.

JHBDA6PHD90 PH1WZ 5 36.0 40.4 4.4 1.871 1.517 0.837 0.678 JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1WZ 5 36.0 40.4 4.4 1.871 1.517 0.837 0.678 JHYDC2 PHD90 PH1WZ 5 5 37.4 40.6 -3.2 2.408 0.894 1.077 0.400 JHBDA6PHD90 PH1WZ 5 5 73.8 124.0 -50.2 9.094 16.233 4.067 7.259 JHBDA6PHD90 PH1WZ 5 8.50 131.0 -46.0 8.746 9.434 3.912 4.219 JHBDA6PHD90 PH1WZ 5 8.38 11.6 -1.2 0.837 0.000 0.374 0.000 JHBDA6PHD90 PH1WZ 5 5 10.0 11.2 -1.2 0.707 0.837 0.316 0.748 JHBDA6PHD90 PH1WZ 5 5 75.8 87.4 -11.6 2.280 1.673	DataField	STA VARIET	VARIETY-VARIETY-Count-Mean-Mean- 1 2 1 2	Sount-C	ountil 2	Tean-	viean∽ 2 M	Std Mean Diff	Deviation=t	StdDeviation-StdDeviation-StdError-StdError- 2 1 2	240ET304	StdError-	pelood enleWhellood ∃0	t Poned	Prob_(2-
PH1WZ 5 36.2 41.8 -5.6 1.304 1.304 0.583 0.583 PH1WZ 5 37.4 40.6 -3.2 2.408 0.894 1.077 0.400 PH1WZ 5 5 73.8 124.0 -50.2 9.094 16.233 4.067 7.259 PH1WZ 5 5 85.0 131.0 -46.0 8.746 9.434 3.912 4.219 PH1WZ 5 5 83.8 115.4 -31.6 13.918 6.877 6.224 3.076 PH1WZ 5 9.8 11.0 -1.2 0.837 0.000 0.374 0.000 PH1WZ 5 9.4 10.6 -1.2 0.707 0.837 0.316 0.374 PH1WZ 5 9.4 10.6 -1.2 0.894 0.548 0.400 0.748 PH1WZ 5 5 74.4 81.6 -7.2 1.140 3.209 0.510 1.435	ear diameter (mm)	JHBDA6 PHD90		C)	Ŋ	36.0	40.4	4	1871			0.678	i E		
neter JHYDC2 PHD90	ar diameter nm)	JHBDI2 PHD90	PH1W2	IC.	ıc	36.2	41.8	יי	1 30	1 202				- 4	
jht JHBDA6PHD90 PH1W2 5 73.8 124.0 -50.2 9.094 16.233 4.067 7.259 jht JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 85.0 131.0 -46.0 8.746 9.434 3.912 4.219 jht JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 83.8 11.0 -1.2 0.837 0.000 0.374 0.000 angth JHBDA6PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 11.0 -1.2 0.837 0.000 0.374 0.000 angth JHBDA6PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 10.0 11.2 -1.2 0.894 0.548 0.400 0.245 jth JHBDA6PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 9.4 10.6 -1.2 0.894 0.548 0.400 0.245 jth JHBDA6PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 9.4 10.6 -1.2 0.894 0.548 0.400 0.245 jth JHBDI2 PHD90	ar diameter nm)	JHYDC2PHD90) LO	, LO	37.4	40.6		2 408	1.00. U	and the same of th	0.000	And the second of the second s	Σ ο Ο ο	
jht JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1WZ 5 85.0 131.0 -46.0 8.746 9.434 3.912 4.219 JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1WZ 5 5 8.3 115.4 -31.6 13.918 6.877 6.224 3.076 angth JHBDA6 PH1WZ 5 9.8 11.0 -1.2 0.837 0.000 0.374 0.000 angth JHBDA6 PH1WZ 5 5 10.0 11.2 -1.2 0.707 0.837 0.000 angth JHBDA6 PH1WZ 5 5 10.0 11.2 -1.2 0.707 0.837 0.316 0.374 angth JHBDA6 PH1WZ 5 5 10.6 -1.2 0.894 0.548 0.400 0.245 ath JHBDA6 PH1WZ 5 75.8 87.4 -11.6 2.280 1.673 1.020 0.748 ath JHBDA6 PH1WZ 5 64.0 <t< td=""><td>ar weight 1)</td><td>JHBDA6 PHD90</td><td></td><td>ભ</td><td>D</td><td>73.8</td><td>124.0</td><td>-50.2</td><td>9 094</td><td>16.23</td><td>7</td><td>0.400 7.050</td><td></td><td>-2.0 0.2-</td><td>0.024</td></t<>	ar weight 1)	JHBDA6 PHD90		ભ	D	73.8	124.0	-50.2	9 094	16.23	7	0.400 7.050		-2.0 0.2-	0.024
ght JHYDC2PHD90 PH1W2 5 6 83.8 115.4 -31.6 13.918 6.877 6.224 3.076 angth JHBDA6PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 9.8 11.0 -1.2 0.837 0.000 0.374 0.000 angth JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 10.0 11.2 -1.2 0.707 0.837 0.400 0.245 angth JHYDC2PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 9.4 10.6 -1.2 0.894 0.548 0.400 0.245 3th JHBDA6PHD90 PH1W2 5 75.8 87.4 -11.6 2.280 1.673 1.020 0.748 3th JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1W2 5 64.0 79.6 -15.6 4.743 1.140 3.209 0.510 1.435	ar weight I)	JHBDI2 PHD90	PH1W2	D.	ß	85.0		-46.0	8 746	9 434	3 942	4 210		ρ α	
angth JHBDA6 PHD90 PH1WZ 5 9.8 11.0 -1.2 0.837 0.000 0.374 0.000 angth JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1WZ 5 10.0 11.2 -1.2 0.707 0.837 0.316 0.374 angth JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1WZ 5 9.4 10.6 -1.2 0.894 0.548 0.400 0.245 3th JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1WZ 5 6.40 79.6 -15.6 4.743 1.140 2.121 0.510 3th JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1WZ 5 74.4 81.6 -7.2 1.140 3.209 0.510 1.435	ar weight	JHYDC2PHD90	PH1W2	5	r.	83.8	115.4	-316	13.918	6 877		3.076	and the second s	0.01	
angth JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 10.0 11.2 -1.2 0.707 0.837 0.316 0.374 angth JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 9.4 10.6 -1.2 0.894 0.548 0.400 0.245 angth JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 75.8 87.4 -11.6 2.280 1.673 1.020 0.748 ath JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 74.4 81.6 -7.2 1.140 3.209 0.510 1.435	ernel length	JHBDA6 PHD90	PH1W2	-C	ĸ	6 6		2 7	7880				and the second second second	1	er er ever en er en
angth JHYDC2PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 9.4 10.6 -1.2 0.894 0.548 0.400 0.245 3.209 Jth JHBDA6PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 64.0 79.6 -15.6 4.743 1.140 2.121 0.510 3.209 0.510 1.435 3.209 0.510 1.445 3.209 0.510 1.435 3.209 0.510 1.445 3.200 0.510 1.445 3.200 0.510 1.445 3.200 0.510 1.445 3.200 0.510 1.445 3.200 0.510 1.445 3.200 0.510 1.445 3.200 0.510 1.445 3.200 0.510 1.445 3.20	ernel length	JHBDI2 PHD90			LC	10.0	2 1	<u>.</u> .	0.707	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	t %	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	The second section of the sect	7.6-	7.0.0
Jth JHBDA6 PHD90 PH1WZ 5 75.8 87.4 -11.6 2.280 1.673 1.020 0.748 Jth JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1WZ 5 64.0 79.6 -15.6 4.743 1.140 2.121 0.510 Jth JHYDC2 PHD90 PH1WZ 5 74.4 81.6 -7.2 1.140 3.209 0.510 1.435	ərnel length nm)			, K	വ	0 4		7	0.894	0.528	1	0.074		4.7-	region or an enterior production by the low
ength JHBDI2 PHD90 PH1W2 5 64.0 79.6 -15.6 4.743 1.140 2.121 0.510 ength JHYDC2 PHD90 PH1W2 5 74.4 81.6 -7.2 1.140 3.209 0.510 1.435	af length m)	JHBDA6 PHD90		5	O.	75.8		-11.6	2.280	1,673		0.748		0.4- 0.4- 0.4-	450.0
ength JHYDC2PHD90 PH1W2 5 5 74.4 81.6 -7.2 1.140 3.209 0.510 1.435	af length :m)	JHBDI2 PHD90		2	വ	64.0	79	-15.6	4.743	1140	2.121	0.510		C 2	organist and the James of the Control
のでは、日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日	af length m)	JHYDC2РНD90		2	Ŋ	74.4	\tilde{p}	7.2	1.140	3.209		1.435		-4.7	

Exhibit B. Novelty Statement Tables

Table 1B: Summary data from Johnston and Dallas Center, IA across environments are supporting evidence for differences between PHD90 and PH1W2. Environments had different planting dates and were in different fields. A two-sample t-test was used to compare differences between means.

5	20	0000		0.000	0.00	- Francisco Contraction Contra	0.000
Droh /	tail)_Pooled						
9141	4 24 44 24 24 24	ტ 1		7.4	80	- Commonwealthan	-4.7
<u>,</u>	>_Poo	Т					7
	al/alue	<u> </u>	The second secon	ഹ	m		ω.
	DF_PooledValue_Pooled	28		28	28		28
Firen	<u>a</u> 2	1,0		0.345	3.244		0.153
ror-Std		1.591	· toripo		2.919		0.206
StoF				٠,,			
fdDeviation	2	3.980		1.33	12.563		0.594
StdDeviation-StdDeviation-StdError-StdError-		6.162		1.885	11.307		0.799
Std	/ean_Diff	7.		7	-42.6		-1.2
Mean-	2 Me	82.9		15 36.5 40.9 -4.4	123.5		10.9
/lean-	~	15 15 71.4 82.9		36.5	80.9		15 15 9.7 10.9
Junt-	ez Ez	15		5	15		15
unt- O	N.	ਨ		15	15		12
TY-C							
WARIE	ev The second	PH1W		PH1W	PH1W		PH1₩
AARIETY-WARIETY-Count-Count-Mean-	T	PHD90 PH1W2		PHD90 PH1W2	НДЭ0		PHD90 PH1W2
	eld P	. 1	eter	1	nt (g) F	gth	
	DataField	(cm)	ear diam	(mm)	ear weight (g)	kernel lei	(mm)

Our experimental design was set up in a typical complete block design commonly used in agricultural corn research experiments using three locations/environments. One replication was grown at each location. This is one more environment than is required according to the PVP application instructions. Our approach was to test the variety in more than 1 location (as instructed) while also allowing us the extra location/environment if there should be an unexpected failure at a location due to weather or other problems. There may also be situations where an additional year of testing was conducted resulting in 2 years of trial data. There would likely be more variability due to soil type differences, nutrients, or weather typical of different testing environments than if all three trials were grown in the same field on the same farm with the same planting dates in the same year. If you recommend that all locations/environments are grown in the same field with the same planting dates and same year, please let us know and we will adjust our 2007 procedures.

The experimental design and methods for 2003 were as follows:

Please update the exhibit C addendum with this paragraph:

The experiment procedures involved three environments with different planting dates, planted in 17.42 ft. rows with 2 rows for each variety. Approximately 24-30 plants emerged in each of 2 rows for a total of around 48 to 60 plants being evaluated at each location and 144 to 180 plants across locations. For plant level traits, we sampled 5 representative plants from the 2 rows of the 2 row plot (group) of plants at each location. For plot level traits we evaluated the 2 row plot (group) and gave a representative score or average on the 48-60 plants in the group within an experiment.

Some traits can be especially variable under different environmental factors influenced by weather, soil type, or planting dates. Varying temperatures or day length could impact the meristem growth during various tissue differentiation stages. The meristem differentiation of the ear and other tissues could be impacted as well as the success of pollination during flowering and frequency of kernel abortion during grain fill. Such variation could impact some of the traits that you mention because our experiment design does not grow all of the trials in the same field with the same planting date.

I would be happy to share detailed protocols or discuss with you in more detail the sampling, experiment design, reporting, and the conscientious evaluations that went into the characterization of the data..

United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service Science and Technology, Plant Variety Protection Office National Agricultural Library Building, Room 400 Beltsville, MD 20705-2351

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY CORN (Zea Mays L.)

Name of Applicant(s) I Variety Seed Source Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc I	I Variety Name or Temporary Designation I PHD90
Address (Street & No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, Zip Code and Country I FOR OFFICIAL 7301 NW 62nd Avenue, P.O. Box 85, Johnston, Iowa 50131-0085	USE PVPO Number 20040022 8
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal characters typical of this inbred variety in the adding leading zeroes if necessary. Completeness should be striven for to establish an adequate variety description and must be completed.	spaces below. Right justify whole numbers by ety description. Traits designated by a "*" are
COLOR CHOICES (Use in conjunction with Munsell color code to describe all color choices; describe 01. Light Green 06. Pale Yellow 11. Pink 16. Pale Purple 02. Medium Green 07. Yellow 12. Light Red 17. Purple 03. Dark Green 08. Yellow-Orange 13. Cherry Red 18. Colorless 04. Very Dark Green 09. Salmon 14. Red 19. White 05. Green-Yellow 10. Pink-Orange 15. Red & White 20. White Capped	21. Buff 26. Other (Describe) 22. Tan 23. Brown 24. Bronze 25. Variegated (Describe)
STANDARD INBRED CHOICES [Use the most similar (in background and maturity) of these to make Yellow Dent Families: Yellow Dent (Unrelated): Family Members Co109, ND246 B14 CM105, A632, B64, B68 Oh7, T232 B37 B37, B76, H84 W117, W153R B73 N192, A679, B73, Nc268 W182BN C103 Mo17, Va102, Va35, A682 Oh43 A619, MS71, H99, Va26 White Dent: WF9 W64A, A554, A654, Pa91 Cl66, H105, Ky228	comparisons based on grow-out trial data]: Sweet Corn: C13, Iowa5125, P39, 2132 Popcorn: SG1533, 4722, HP301, HP7211 Pipecorn: Mo15W, Mo16W, Mo24W
TYPE: (describe intermediate types in comments section) (1=Sweet, 2=Dent, 3=Flint, 4=Flour, 5=Pop, 6=Ornamental, 7=Pipecorn) flint-dent Comments:	I Standard Inbred Name W64A I <u>2</u> Type
2. REGION WHERE DEVELOPED IN THE U.S.A.: 2 (1=N.West, 2=N.Central, 3=N.East, 4=S.East, 5=S.Central, 6=S.West, 7=Other	I Standard Seed Source AMES 19291 I Region
3. MATURITY (In Region Best Adaptability; show Heat Unit formula in "Comments" section): DAYS HEAT UNITS 58 1,236.0 From emergence to 50% of plants in silk 58 1,227.0 From emergence to 50% of plants in pollen 1 34 From 10% to 90% pollen shed From 50% silk to optimum edible quality From 50% silk to harvest at 25% moisture	DAYS HEAT UNITS 1 57 1.222.0 57 1.207.3 2 38
4. PLANT: St.Dev. Sample Size 190.7 cm cm Plant Height (to tassel tip) 20.02 cm 15 61.6 cm cm Ear Height (to base of top ear node) 17.93 cm 15 16.6 cm Length of Top Ear Internode 5.68 cm 15 0.0 cm Average Number of Tillers 0.03 cm 3 1.1 dm Average Number of Ears per Stalk 0.11 cm 3 4 dm Anthocyanin of Brace Roots: 1=Absent, 2=Faint, 3=Moderate, 4=Dark	l <u>73.6</u> <u>9.90</u> <u>15</u>
Application Variety Data Page 1	Standard Inbred Data

Application Variety Data	Page 2	1	Standard Inbred	Data	
5. LEAF	St.Dev.	Sample Size I	Mean	St.Dev.	Sample Size
8.6 cm Width of Ear Node Leaf	0.91	<u>15</u>	<u>8.6</u>	0.83	15
71.4 cm Length of Ear Node Leaf	6.16	15 I	66.9	2.89	15
5.8 Number of leaves above top ear	0.94	<u>15</u> I	6.1	0.74	15 15
31.1 Degrees Leaf Angle	6.13	15 I	32.0	3.72	15
(Measure from 2nd leaf above ear at anthesis to stalk above	ove leaf)				
4 Leaf Color (Munsell code) 5GY34			3 (Munsell	code) 5GY44	1
3 Leaf Sheath Pubescence (Rate on scale from 1=none to	o 9=like peach fuz	<u>'z)</u> 1	$\bar{4}$		-
Marginal Waves (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many		1			
Longitudinal Creases (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=none to 1=none to 1=	many)	J.,	i i ka <u>li</u> ra ang ka		
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>
6. TASSEL:	St.Dev.	Sample Size I	Mean	St.Dev.	Sample Size
2.8 Number of Primary Lateral Branches	1.26	<u>15</u> 1	5.6	2.59	15
16.7 Branch Angle from Central Spike	8.80	<u>15</u> I	<u>25.7</u>	<u>5.31</u>	15
50.9 cm tassel Length	<u>3.13</u>	<u>15</u> I	54.1	1.53	1 <u>5</u> 15
(from top leaf collar to tassel tip)		— i			 -
4 Pollen Shed (Rate on scale from 0=male sterile to 9=he	avy shed)	1.1	5		
6 Anther Color (Munsel code) 5Y76		1	5 (Munsell	code) 10Y8.5	8
2 Glume Color (Munsell code) 5GY56		1		code) 5GY66	
1 Bar Glumes (Glume Bands): 1=Absent, 2=Present		1	1		
7a. EAR (Unhusked Data):	<u> </u>				
1 Silk Color (3 days after emergence) (Munsell code)	2.5G	704	1 Munsell	code 2.5GY	ole
2 Fresh Husk Color (25 days after 50% silking) (Munsell of	code) <u>2.00</u>		2 Munsell		40
19 Dry Husk Color (65 days after 50% silking) (Munsell co	de) 5Y92	_	21 Munsell		
2 Position of Ear at Dry Husk Stage: 1=Upright, 2=Horizo			<u>21</u> Wunsen	code <u>2.510.</u>	7
7 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 9=					
2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed), 2=	=Medium (<8cm)	3=Long	<u>3</u> <u>2</u>		
(8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm)	(i	=		
7b. EAR (Husked Ear Data)	O. D.	0 10 1	<u> </u>		
	St. Dev.	Sample Size I	Mean		Sample Size
13.6 cm Ear Length	<u>0.91</u>	<u>15</u> I	<u>13.5</u>	0.74	<u>15</u>
36.5 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point	<u>1.88</u>	<u>15</u> 1	<u>43.1</u>	1.13	<u>15</u>
80.9 gm Ear Weight	<u>11.31</u>	<u>15</u> I	<u>108.0</u>	<u>10.54</u>	15 15 15
13.2 Number of Kernel Rows	<u>1.47</u>	<u>15</u> I	<u>17.6</u>	<u>1.12</u>	<u>15</u>
2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct			<u>2</u>		
1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=Spiral			<u>2</u>	4.00	-
13.0 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight, 2=Average, 3=Extreme	<u>3.32</u>	<u>15</u> J	<u>9.5</u>	<u>1.92</u>	<u>15</u>
≥ Ear Taper. 1–Silgin, 2–Average, 3–Extreme			1		
8. KERNEL (Dried):	St.Dev.	Sample Size 1	Mean		Sample Size
9.7 mm Kernel Length	<u>0.80</u>	<u>15</u> l	<u>9.6</u>	<u>0.51</u>	<u>15</u>
8.1 mm Kernel Width	<u>0.59</u>	<u>15</u> l	<u>6.6</u>	<u>0.51</u>	<u>15</u>
5.1 mm Kernel Thickness	<u>0.59</u>	<u>15</u> l	<u>4.7</u>	<u>0.70</u>	1 <u>5</u> 15 3
49.4 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade)	<u>12.91</u>	<u>3</u> l	<u>15.6</u>	<u>3.41</u>	<u>3</u>
 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segregating 		<u> </u>	<u>1</u>		1.00
7 Aleurone Color (Munsell code) 1.25Y8		and the second	7 Munsell		
7 Hard Endosperm Color (Munsell code) 1.25Y8			7 Munsell	code <u>10Y</u> I	₹814
3 Endosperm Type: 1=Sweet(su1), 2=Extra Sweet(sh2), 3	=Normal Starch,	4=High I	<u>3</u>		
Amylose Starch, 5=Waxy Starch, 6=High Protein, 7=High (se), 9=High Oil, 10=Other	ı ∟ysıne, 8≕Super	Sweet I			r jan da
24.7 gm Weight per 100 kernels (unsized sample)	2.08	<u>3</u> l	<u>18.7</u>	<u>1.15</u>	<u>3</u>
Application Variety Data			Standard Inbred D)ata	<u> </u>
- delinament amort sam			Glandard Inbred L	zala	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Note: Use chart on first page to choose color codes for color traits

Page 3

9. COB:	St.Dev.	Sample Size 1	Mean St.Dev Sample Siz
21.5 mm Cob Diameter at mid-point	/ <u>1.13</u>	15 I	28.5 0.83 1 1
19 Cob Color (Munsell code)	<u>5Y91</u>		11 Munsell code 2.5YR56
	or the second of the second		
10. DISEASE RESISTANCE (Rate from 1(most susceptible) to 0 (most resistant): It	ovo blonk	
if not tested; leave Race or Strain Options blank if polygenic) to a (most resistant), re ;):	ave plank	
A. Leaf Blights, Wilts, and Local Infection Diseases			
_ Anthracnose Leaf Blight (Colletotrichum graminicol	la)		Anthracnose Leaf Blight
Common Rust (Puccinia sorghi)			Common Rust
_ Common Smut (Ustilago maydis)		1.00	Common Smut
Eyespot (Kabatiella zeae)			Evespot
Goss's Wilt (Clavibacter michiganense spp. nebrask	kense)	1	Goss's Wilt
4 Gray Leaf Spot (Cercospora zeae-maydis)		1	2 Gray Leaf Spot
Helminthosporium Leaf Spot (Bipolaris zeicola)	Race	F	Helminthosporium Leaf Spot Rac
5 Northern Leaf Blight (Exserohilum turcicum)	Race	- T p	4 Northern Leaf Blight Rac
Southern Leaf Blight (Bipolaris maydis)	Race		Southern Leaf Blight Rac
Southern Rust (Puccinia Polysora)			Southern Rust
Stewart's Wilt (Erwinia stevartii)		1	Stewart's Wilt
_ Other (Specify)	<u> </u>	1	Other (Specify)
3. Systemic Diseases		1	
Corn Lethal Necrosis (MCMV and MDMV)		in the second of the second	Corn Lethal Necrosis
Head Smut (Sphacelotheca reiliana)		$\epsilon = \epsilon + \epsilon$, $\epsilon = 1$, $\epsilon = 1$	Head Smut
_ Maize Chlorotic Dwarf Virus (MCDV)		\mathbf{I}	_ Maize Chlorotic Dwarf Virus
_ Maize Chlorotic Mottle Virus (MCMV)		1	_ Maize Chlorotic Mottle Virus
	Strain	1	Maize Dwarf Mosaic Virus Strai
 Sorghum Downy Mildew of Corn (Peronosclerospor 	ra sorghi)		 Sorghum Downy Mildew of Corn
_ Other (Specify)		. 1	_ Other (Specify)
C. Stalk Rots			
6 Anthracnose Stalk Rot (Colletotrichum graminicola)		\mathbf{T}	4 Anthracnose Stalk Rot
Diplodia Stalk Rot (Stenocarpella maydis)		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	Diplodia Stalk Rot
Fusarium Stalk Rot (Fusarium moniliforme)			Fusarium Stalk Rot
Gibberella Stalk Rot (Gibberella zeae)			Gibberella Stalk Rot
_ Other (Specify)		and the state of the state of	_ Other (Specify)
. Ear and Kernel Rots		1	
_ Aspergillus Ear and Kernel Rot (Aspergillus flavus)		- Pro-	_ Aspergillus Ear & Kernel Rot
_ Diplodia Ear Rot (Stenocarpella maydis)		1	_ Diplodia Ear Rot
Fusarim Ear and Kernel Rot (Fusarium moniliforme	;)	A = A	_ Fusarium Ear & Kernel Rot
Gibberella Ear Rot (Gibberella zeae)	distribution of	- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	_ Gibberella Ear Rot
_ Other (Specify)		1 C	Other (Specify)

Note: Use chart on first page to choose color codes for color traits.

11. INSECT RESISTANCE (Rate from 1(most susceptible) to 9 (most resistant); Leave blank	
if not tested St. Dev. Sample Size	St. Dev. Sample Size
_ Banks Grass Mite (Oligonychus pratensis)	_ Banks Grass Mite
Corn Earworm (Helicoverpa zea)	I Corn Earworm
_ Leaf Feeding	Leaf Feeding
Silk Feeding rng larval wt.	
_ Ear Damage	I Ear Damage
Corn Leaf Aphid (Rhopalosiphum maidis)	Corn Leaf Aphid
Corn Sap Beetle (Carpophilus dimidiatus)	Corn Sap Beetle
European Corn Borer (Ostrinia nubilalis)	I European Corn Borer
1 st Generation (Typically Whorl Leaf Feeding)	I 1 st Generation
_ 2 nd Generation (Typically Leaf Sheath-Collar Feeding)	
Stalk Tunneling: cm tunneled/plant	I _ 2 nd Generation
Fall Armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda)	T-11 A
Leaf-Feeding	Fall Armyworm
	_ Leaf-Feeding
_ Maize Weevil (Sitophilus Zeamaize)	_ Maize Weevil
_ Northern Rootworm (Diabrotica barberi)	I Northern Rootworm
_ Southern Rotworm (Diabrotica undecimpunctata)	Southern Rootworm
Southwestern Corn Borer (Diatraea grandiosella)	I Southwestern Corn Borer
_ Leaf Feeding	Leaf Feeding
Stalk Tunneling:cm tunneled/plant	
_ Two-spotted Spider Mite (Tetranychus urticae)	Two-spotted Spider Mite
Western Rootworm (Diabrotica virgifera virgifera)	Western Rootworm
Other (Specify)	I Other (Specify)
 5 Stay Green (at 65 days after anthesis) (Rate on scale from 1=worst to 9=exellent) % Dropped Ears (at 65 days after anthesis) % Pre-anthesis Brittle Snapping 0 % Pre-anthesis Root Lodging Post-anthesis Root Lodging 	Stay Green Stay Green Suppose Ears Suppo
4,318.0 kg/ha Yield of Inbred per se (at 12-13% grain moisture)	I <u>3,614.0</u> Yield
13. MOLECULAR MARKERS: (0=data unavailable; 1=data available but not supplied; 2=data suppli	ed.)
1 Isozymes RFLP's RAPD's	_ Other (Specify)
REFERENCES:	
Butler, D.R. 1954. A System for the Classification of Corn Inbred Lines. PhD Thesis, Ohio University Emerson, R.A., G.W. Beadle, and A.C. Fraser, 1935. A summary of Linkage Studies in Maize. Corn. Farr, D.F., G.F. Bills, G.P. Chamuris, A.Y. Rossman. 1989. Fungi on Plant Products in the United St Society, St. Paul, MN.	ell A.E.S., Mem. 180.
Inglett, G.E. (Ed) 1970. Corn: Culture, Processing, Products. Avi Publishing Company, Westpoint, C Jugenheimer, R.W. 1976. Corn: Improvement, Seed Production, and Uses. John Wiley & Sons, New McGee, D.C. 1988. Maize Diseases. APS Press, St. Paul, MN. 150 pp. Munsell Color Chart for Plant Tissues. Macbeth. P.O. Box 230. Newburgh, N.Y. 12551-0230 The Mutants of Maize. 1968. Crop Science Society of America. Madison, WI. Shurtleff, M.C. 1980. Compendium of Corn Diseases. APS Press, St. Paul, MN. 105 pp. Sprague, G.F., and J.W. Dudley (Editiors). 1988. Corn and Corn Improvement, Third Edition. Agrond Madison, WI.	v York.
Stringfield, G.H. Maize Inbred Lines of Ohio A.E.S., Bul. 831, 1959.	

COMMENTS (e. g. state how heat units were calculated, standard inbred seed source, and/or where data was collected. Continue in Exhibit D) Insect, disease, brittle snapping and root lodging data are collected mainly from environment where variability for the trait can be obtained within the experiment.

200400228

CLARIFICATION OF DATA IN EXHIBITS B AND C

Please note the data presented in Exhibit B and C, "Objective Description of Variety," are collected primarily at Johnston and Dallas Center, Iowa. The data in Tables 1A and 1B are from two sample t-tests using data collected in Johnston and Dallas Center, IA. These traits in exhibit B collectively show distinct differences between the two varieties.

REPRODUCE LOCALLY. Include form number and edition date on all reproductions.	FORM APPROVED COMPAND OF	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE EXHIBIT E STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF OWNERSHIP	Application is required in order to determine certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). confidential until the certificate is issued (7	e if a plant variety protection The information is held
1.NAME OF APPLICANT(S) PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL, INC.	2.TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NUMBER	3. VARIETY NAME PHD90
4 .ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP, and Country)	5.TELEPHONE (include area code)	6. FAX (include area code)
7301 NW 62 nd AVENUE	515-270-4051	515-253-2125
P.O.BOX 85	7.PVPO NUMBER	
JOHNSTON, IA 50131-0085		00400228
8. Does the applicant own all rights to the variety? Mark an "X" in the appropriate	block. If no, please explain: 🖂 YES	□NO
9. Is the applicant (individual or company) a U.S. national or a U.S. based companion 10. Is the applicant the original owner? YES NO If no, please and a. If the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is (are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is (are) the original rights.	nswer <u>one</u> of the following:	S □ NO
☐ YES ☐ NO if no, give name of country	iginal owner(s) a U.S. National(s)?	
b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a company(ies), is (are) the	e original owner(s) a U.S. based company?	
☑ YES ☐ NO If no, give name of country		
11. Additional explanation on ownership (Trace ownership from original breeder to	current owner. Use the reverse for extra sp	pace if needed):
Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. (PHI), Des Moines, Iowa, and/or its wholly the employer of the plant breeders involved in the selection and developmen Corporation has the sole rights and ownership of PHD90 pursuant to written such variety was created. No rights to this variety are retained by any individual contents of the conte	it of PHD90. Pioneer Hi-Bred International a contracts that assign all rights in the variety	and/or Pioneer Overseas
PLEASE NOTE:		
Plant variety protection can only be afforded to the owners (not licensees) who meet the f	ollowing criteria:	
 If the rights to the variety are owned by the original breeder, that person must be a which affords similar protection to nationals of the U.S. for the same genus and spe 	U.S. national, national of a UPOV member coucies.	ntry, or national of a country
2. If the rights to the variety are owned by the company which employed the original becountry, or owned by nationals of a country which affords similar protection to nation	reeder(s), the company must be U.S. based, ow onals of the U.S. for the same genus and species	vned by nationals of a UPOV member s.

- If the applicant is an owner who is not the original owner, both the original owner and the applicant must meet one of the above criteria.

The original breeder/owner may be the individual or company who directed the final breeding. See Section 41(a)(2) of the Plant Variety Protection Act for definitions.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0581-0055. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 0.1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing the instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital or family status, political beliefs, parental status, or protected genetic information. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal employment opportunity provide and employer.